

كِتَابُ فُجُورِ الشُّعْرَاءِ

لِلْأَصْمَعِيِّ

تَحْقِيقُ

ش. تَوْرِي

قَدَّمَهَا

الدُّكْتُورُ صَاحِبُ الدِّينِ الْمُنَجِّدُ

دَارُ الْكِتَابِ الْجَدِيدِ

كِتَابُ فُجُورِ الشُّعْرَاءِ

لِلْأَصْمَعِيِّ

تَحْقِيقُ

ش. تَوْرِي

قَدَّمَهَا

الدُّكْتُورُ صَلاَحُ الدِّينِ المُنْجِدُ

دَارُ الكُتُبِ الجَدِيدِ

الطبعة الأولى
حقوق الطبع محفوظة
١٣٨٩ هـ - ١٩٧١ م

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

مقدمة

- ١ -

ما زال العلماء منذ ظهور الإسلام حتى يومنا يهتمون بالشعر القديم وينقدونه ، ويحفظونه ، ويشرحونه ، ويقلّدونه ، والرسالة التي أقدم لها تمثل لونا من هذا الاهتمام . فقد تضمنت آراء الأصمعيّ ، العالم والناقد واللغويّ والراوية ، في فحولة طائفة من الشعراء الجاهليين والإسلاميين ، رواها عنه تلميذه أبو حاتم سهل ابن محمد بن عثمان السجزي ، ورواها عن أبي حاتم تلميذه ابن دريد اللغوي .

لا نجد في الرسالة تفسيراً واضحاً لمعنى « فحولة » الشاعر . فقد سأل أبو حاتم أستاذه الأصمعي عن معنى « الفحل » ، فقال : من كان له مزية على غيره ، كمزية الفحل على الحقاق . (ج . حقّ ، من الإبل ، الداخلة في السنة الرابعة) . على أننا نجد في اللسان ما يلي : « فحولة الشعراء هم الذين غلبوا بالهجاء من هاجاهم ، مثل جرير والفرزدق وأشباههما ، وكذلك كل من عارض شاعراً فغلب عليه » . لكن هذا التعريف يبدو ناقصاً ، لأننا نجد الأصمعي في رسالته يصف بعض الشعراء بالفحولة لغير ما ذكره « اللسان » . فقد قال إن « طفَيْلاً فحل » لأنه غاية ، في النعت وإن كعب بن سعد الغنوي ليس من الفحول إلا في المرثية ، فإنه ليس في الدنيا مثلها ، وإن لبيداً ليس بفحل ، وإن شعره كأنه طيأسان طبري ، يعني أنه جيد الصنعة وليست له حلاوة ، وإن الحويدرة لو قال خمس قصائد مثل قصيدته كان فحلاً ... ، ومن هذا نرى أن الأصمعيّ كان ينظر في الفحولة إلى جودة السبك ، وبراعة المعنى ، ووفرة الشعر معاً .

وتبدو قيمة الرسالة في أنها جمعت عدداً كبيراً من الشعراء الجاهليين والإسلاميين ، وأن الأصمعي نفسه كان له شأن كبير ، نظراً لمكانته في تاريخنا اللغوي والأدبي .

وُلد الأصمعيّ - وهو عبد الملك بن قُرَيْب بن عبد الملك . . . بن أصمّ ، وإليه نسبته - في البصرة سنة ثلاث وعشرين ومائة من الهجرة . وكانت البصرة يومئذٍ تجتمع العلماء والشعراء والأدباء . فلما شبّ أخذ القراءات واللغة والأدب على أبي عمرو بن العلاء ، أحد القراء السبعة . وأخذ عن طائفة العلماء منهم الخليل بن أحمد الفراهيدي ، والإمام جعفر الصادق ، وحماد عجرد ، وحماد بن سلمة ، وحماد بن زيد وهما محدثان ، وخلف الأحمر ، وسفيان بن عُيَيْنَةَ ، وآخرون . ولقي عدداً كبيراً من الشعراء الفصحاء ، وأولادهم ، وسمع منهم أشعارهم . ثم إنه عُني بأمر لم يُعْنَ به أحدٌ مثله هو السماع من الأعراب ، وأهل البادية ، فكان يحفظ ما يسمعه منهم . فاجتمع له من رواية الشعر ما لم يجتمع لغيره ، وكان يفخر بهذه الميزة التي أوتيتها . وكان يملك إلى ذلك كله ، عدداً ضخماً من الكتب ، يُطالع فيها . خرج مرة مع الرشيد إلى الرقة فحمل معه ثمانية عشر صندوقاً من الكتب ، وذكر أنه خفّف الحمل ، ولو ثقّله لحمل أضعاف ذلك . وهذا يدلّ على ما كان عنده من الكتب .

اشتهر الأصمعيّ بروايته الواسعة ، ومعرفته بالشعر ونقده ، وبالتفسير والحديث والنحو ، وكذلك اشتهر بحفظه وقوة ذاكرته ، وعُرف عنه الذكاء واستحضار الحجّة ، وخفة الروح ، وظرف النادرة ، وحلاوة المزاج ، ولذع التهكم . وقد امتلأت كتب أدبنا العربي بالرواية عنه ، أو ذكر أخباره ، حتى ما تكاد تلقى كتاباً من المصادر الكبيرة ، لا ذكر له فيها . لقد كان من العلماء النوادير الذين أغنوا الأدب العربي ، وساعدوا على توضيح معالمه .

ألّف الأصمعيّ كتباً كثيرة ، أورد صاحب الفهرست مسرداً بها^(١) ، وفاته بعضها ، وهذا بيان ما جمعناه من أسماء مؤلفاته :

(١) الفهرست (ط. أوروبا) ص ٥٥ - ٥٦ .

٢٧ - جزيرة العرب
٢٨ - الدلو
٢٩ - الرحل
٣٠ - معاني الشعر
٣١ - مصادر
٣٢ - القصائد الست
٣٣ - الارجيز
٣٤ - النخلة
٣٥ - النبات والشجر
٣٦ - الخراج
٣٧ - ما اتفق لفظه واختلف معناه
٣٨ - غريب الحديث
٣٩ - السرج واللجام والشوى والنعال
٤٠ - غريب الحديث والكلام الوحشي
٤١ - نوادر الاعراب
٤٢ - مياه العرب
٤٣ - النسب
٤٤ - الاصوات
٤٥ - المذكر والمؤنث
٤٦ - الاصمعيات
٤٧ - الدارات
٤٨ - النخل والكرم
٤٩ - أسماء الحجر
٥٠ - ما تكلم به العرب فكثرت في
أفواه الناس
٥١ - فحولة الشعراء

١ - خلق الانسان
٢ - الاجناس
٣ - الأنواء
٤ - الهمز
٥ - المقصود والمدود
٦ - الفرق
٧ - الصفات
٨ - الاثواب
٩ - الميسر والقдах
١٠ - خلق الفرس
١١ - الخيل
١٢ - الابل
١٣ - الشاء
١٤ - الاخبية والبيوت
١٥ - الوحوش وصفاتها
١٦ - الأوقات
١٧ - فعل وأفعال
١٨ - الامثال
١٩ - الأضداد
٢٠ - الالفاظ
٢١ - السلاح
٢٢ - اللغات
٢٣ - الاشتقاق
٢٤ - النوادر
٢٥ - أصول الكلام
٢٦ - القلب والابدال

هذا ما جمعناه من مؤلفاته. وما أثبت منها بحرف أسود هو المطبوع منها^(١).

- ٤ -

والعجيب أن رسالة «فحولة الشعراء» لم يذكرها صاحب الفهرست، ولا الذين كتبوا عن الأصمعي في عصرنا، كعز الدين التنوخي، والزركلي، وكحالة، وسركيس، مع أنها طُبعت منذ أمد طويل. وهي ذات شأن كبير، وتستحق الدراسة العميقة. وقد كان المستشرق تشارلس تورّي قد نشر هذه الرسالة في عام ١٩١١ في المجلد ٦٥ من مجلة جمعية المستشرقين الألمان. مع ترجمة إنكليزية، ويبدو أن أبناء العربية لم يطلعوا عليها، ولم يفيدوا منها في دراساتهم عن الشعر القديم. والمستشرق تورّي كان أستاذاً في جامعة ييل Yale بالولايات المتحدة، وقد نشر عدداً من النصوص العربية، منها «فتوح مصر وإفريقية والمغرب» لابن عبد الحكم، و«اغلاط الضعفاء» لابن برّي، وفحولة الشعراء للأصمعي، وغير ذلك، وتوفى سنة ١٩٤٨ هـ، وكان مولده سنة ١٨٦٣ م.

- ٥ -

روى هذه الرسالة كتابةً عن الأصمعي تلميذه أبو حاتم السجستاني، وهو سهل ابن محمد. كان من كبار علماء البصرة باللغة والشعر. وقد نحنا نحو أستاذه الأصمعي في مؤلفاته الكثيرة، وكان ثقة. توفي سنة ٢٤٨ هـ. وكان من تلاميذه ابن دريد اللغوي المتوفى سنة ٤٣٢١ هـ، وهو الذي روى عنه رسالتنا هذه. فتكون الرسالة قد وصلت إلينا بطريق عالين كبيرين ثقتين عن الأصمعي.

صلاح الدين المنجد

بيروت، ١٩٧١

(١) للتوسع في ترجمة الأصمعي أنظر: عز الدين التنوخي، الأصمعي، في مجلة المجمع العلمي العربي بدمشق، المجلد ١٤ (١٩٣٦) ص ٨٣ - ١١٠؛ وكحاله، معجم المؤلفين ٦ / ١٨٧؛ والزركلي، الأعلام ٤ / ٣٠٧؛ ونزهة الألباء ص ١١٢ (طبعة محمد أبو الفضل إبراهيم، وما ذكره من المصادر)؛ وبروكلمن، المجلد الأول ٧٦٣، والذيل الأول ١٠٤.

كتاب فُحُولَةِ الشُّعْرَاءِ

رواية ابن دُرَيْدٍ عن أَبِي حَاتِمٍ عن الْأَصْمَعِيِّ

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ قَالَ أَبُو بَكْرٍ مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحَسَنِ بْنِ
دُرَيْدِ الْأَزْدِيِّ قَالَ أَبُو حَاتِمٍ سَهْلُ بْنُ مُحَمَّدِ بْنِ عَثْمَانَ السَّجَزِيِّ
سَمِعْتُ الْأَصْمَعِيَّ عَبْدَ الْمَلِكِ بْنَ قُرَيْبٍ غَيْرًا¹⁾ مَرَّةً يَفْضَلُ النَّابِغَةَ⁵
الذُّبْيَانِيَّ عَلَى سَائِرِ شُعْرَاءِ²⁾ الْجَاهِلِيَّةِ وَسَأَلْتُهُ آخَرَ مَا سَأَلْتُهُ فَبَيَّلَ
مَوْتَهُ مَنْ أَوَّلَ الْفُحُولِ قَالَ النَّابِغَةُ الذُّبْيَانِيَّ ثُمَّ قَالَ مَا أَرَى فِي الدُّنْيَا
لَأَحَدٍ مِثْلَ قَوْلِ امْرِئِ الْقَيْسِ

وَقَاعُهُمْ جَدُّهُمْ بِنَيْ أَبِيهِمْ وَبِالْأَشَقِيَيْنِ مَا كَانَ الْعِقَابُ³⁾

قَالَ أَبُو حَاتِمٍ فَلَمَّا رَأَيْتُ أَكْتَبُ كَلَامَهُ فَكَّرْتُ ثُمَّ قَالَ بَلْ أَوْلَهُمْ¹⁰
كَلِمَةً فِي الْجُودَةِ امْرُؤُ الْقَيْسِ لَهُ الْحُطُّوَّةُ وَالسَّبِقُ وَكَلِمَةً أَخَذُوا مِنْ
قَوْلِهِ وَاتَّبَعُوا مَذْهَبَهُ وَكَانَتْ جَعَلَ النَّابِغَةَ الذُّبْيَانِيَّ مِنَ الْفُحُولِ قَالَ
أَبُو حَاتِمٍ قُلْتُ مَا مَعْنَى الْفَاحِلِ قَالَ يُرِيدُ أَنْ لَهُ مَرْيَّةٌ عَلَى غَيْرِهِ
كَمَرْيَةِ الْفَاحِلِ عَلَى الْحِقَاقِ قَالَ وَبَيْتُ جَرِيرٍ يَدُلُّكَ عَلَى هَذَا

وَأَبْنُ اللَّبُونِ إِذَا مَا لَزَّ⁴⁾ فِي قَرْنٍ لَمْ يَسْتَضِعْ صَوْلَةَ الْبَيْزَلِ الْقَنَاعِيْسِ¹⁵
قَالَ أَبُو حَاتِمٍ وَسَأَلْتُهُ رَجُلٌ أَيْ النَّاسِ طَرًّا أَشْعُرُ قَالَ النَّابِغَةَ قَالَ
تَقَدَّمَ عَلَيْهِ أَحَدًا قَالَ لَا وَلَا أُدْرِكُ الْعُلَمَاءَ بِالشُّعْرِ يَفْضَلُونَ عَلَيْهِ
أَحَدًا قُلْتُ فَزُهَيْرُ بْنُ أَبِي سُلَيْمٍ قَدْ اخْتَلَفَ فِيهِ وَفِيهِمَا⁶⁾ ثُمَّ قَالَ
لَا قَالَ أَبُو عَمْرٍو وَسَأَلْتُهُ رَجُلٌ وَأَنَا أَسْمَعُ النَّابِغَةَ أَشْعُرُ أَمْ زُهَيْرُ فَقَالَ
مَا يَصْلِحُ زُهَيْرُ أَنْ يَكُونَ أُجَيْرًا لِلنَّابِغَةَ قَالَ [وَأَوْسُ⁴⁾] بَنُ جَرِّ أَشْعُرِ²⁰

1) Ms. عن.

2) Ms. الشعراء.

3) Ahlwardt, *Dirans* I, 120; Agh. VIII, 69; Cheikho, *Šu'arū' an-Naṣrānīya* I, 17, etc.

4) Ms. كُنَّ. The verse cited in *Lisān* s. v. لَزَّ and قَنَعَسَ.

5) The text of this passage is queried by the copyist, and كَذَا is inserted here in Landberg's hand.

6) So corrected in Ms.

من زهير ولكن النابغة طاطأ منه قال أوس

بجيش تترى منه الفصاء معصلاً¹⁾

في قافية وقال النابغة فجاء بمعناه في نصف بيت وزاد شيئاً آخر
فقال (fol. 2)

جيش²⁾ يطلُّ به الفصاء معصلاً يدعُ الإكام كأنهنَّ حكارى³⁾

قال ابو حاتم حدثنا الاصمعي قال حدثنا شيخ من اهل نجد
قال كان طقييل الغنوي يسمى في الجاهلية محبباً لحسن شعره قال
وطقييل عندي في بعض شعره اشعر من امرئ القيس الاصمعي يقوله
ثم قال وقد اخذ طقييل من امرئ القيس شيئاً قال ويقال ان كثيراً
10 من شعر امرئ القيس لصعاليك كانوا معه قال وكان عمرو بن قميئة⁴⁾
دخل معه الروم الى قيصر قال وكان معوية بن ابي سفيان يقول
دعوا لي طفيلاً فان شعره اشبه بشعر الاولين من زهير وهو فحل
ثم قال من العجب ان النابغة لم ينعت فرساً قط بشيء الا قوله

صَفْرًا مَنَاخِرُهَا مِنَ الْجَرَّارِ⁵⁾

15 قال ولم يكن النابغة وأوس وزهير يحسنون صفة الخيل ولكن طقييل
غاية في النعت وهو فحل ثم انشد له

بِرَادُ⁶⁾ عَلَى فَأْسِ اللَّجَامِ كَأَنَّمَا بِرَادُ⁷⁾ بِهِ مِرْقَاةٌ جِدْعٍ مُشَدَّبِ

1) Cf. the verse quoted from this poet in *Lisān* XIII, 478.

2) Ahlw., *Divans*, p. 14 has جَمْعًا Diw. Cairo 1293 (with Comm. of Baṭalyūsī), p. 37 has جَمْع. On this verse see also Ibn as-Sikkīt, p. 343.

3) Ms. قَمِيَّة.

4) Ahlw. p. 14; Diw. Cairo 1293, p. 38, and elsewhere.

5) Elsewhere always بِرَادِي or تِرَادِي; see Krenkow, "Tufail al-Ganawī", JRAS. 1907, p. 852 (vs. 45), and the references given by him, pp. 825, 827.

قوله يبراد عاى فاس اللجام تقول راودته على كذا اى حاولته عليه
ويقال اردته ايضا وانما يصف عنقه¹⁾

. . . . وهو جيد الصفة للمخيل جدا ثم انشد

يشدّ الشُّورِونِ أو أَرادَ لِيَبْرُفَرَا²⁾

5 وقد احسن في قصيدته انتمى يقول فيها

تلك المكارم لا قعبار³⁾ من لبن شيبا بماء فعادا بعد ابوالا⁴⁾

قلت ما مذهبه في ذا فان هذا البيت يدخل في شعر غيره⁵⁾ قال
لما قال سوار ابن الحياء القشيري ومنا ناشد رجله ومنا الذى اسر
حاجبا ومنا الذى سقى اللبن قال النابغة حينئذ (fol. 3)

10 تلك المكارم لا قعبار من لبن

قال الاصمعي لو كانت هذه القصيدة للنابغة الاكبر بلغت كل
مبلغ قلت فالاعشى اعشى بنى قيس بن ثعلبة قال ليس بفحل
قلت فعلممة بن عبدة قال فحل قلت فالحرث بن حنيفة قال فحل
قلت فعمر بن كُلتوم قال ليس بفحل قلت فالسيب بن علس
قال فحل قلت فعدي بن زيد فحل هو قال ليس بفحل ولا انتمى
15 قال ابو حاتم وانما سألته لانى سمعت ابن مناذر لا يقدم عليه
احدا قلت فحسار بن ثابت قال فحل قلت فقيس بن الخطيم قال

1) In the Ms. there is no sign of a gap here, nor does any one appear to have noticed it. It is plain that from speaking of Ṭufail's description of horses al-Aṣma'ī then passed on to speak of his acknowledged rival in this regard, Nābigha Ja'dī. The place where the omission occurred must be the one which I have indicated, and it may be that the text has suffered the loss of only a line or two.

2) The verse appears to come from the long *qaṣīda* of this poet of which small portions are given in Agh. IV, 130 f., Hiz. I, 513 f., and elsewhere, while 70 or 80 verses from it appear in the *Jamhara*, 145 ff. A similar verse (but not the same) is *Jamh.* 147, 4.

3) Ms. قُعبار.

4) See especially Brockelmann in *Nöldeke-Festschrift* I, 118.

5) Landberg's copyist queries the line beginning with this word and ending with ومنا.

فحل قلت فالمرقشمان قال فحلان قلت فابن قميئة¹ قال فحل قال
هو قميئة¹ بن سعد بن مالك وكنيته أبو يزيد قلت فأبو زبيد²
قال ليس بفحل قلت فالشماخ قال فحل قال الاصمعي واخبرني من
راى قبر الشماخ بأرمينية قلت فمزرد اخوه قال ليس بدون الشماخ
ولكنه افسد شعرة بما يهجو الناس³ قال واخبرني الاصمعي
قبل هذا ان اهل الكوفة لا يقدمون على الاعشى احدا قال وكان
خلف لا يقدم عليه احدا قال ابو حاتم لانه قد قال في كل عروض
وركب كل قافية⁴ قلت فعروة بن الورد قال شاعر كريم وليس بفحل
قلت فالجويدرة⁴ قال لو قال مثل قصيدته خمس قصائد كان فحلا
10 قلت فمهلهل قال ليس بفحل ولو كان قال مثل قوله

أَلَيْلَتَنَا بَدَى جُحْمٌ⁵ أَنْيَرِي

كان أفحلهم قال واكثر شعرة محمول عليه قلت فابو ذؤاد⁶ قال صالح
لم يقل انه فحل قلت فالراعى قال ليس بفحل قلت فابن مقبل
قال ليس بفحل قال ابو حاتم وسألت الاصمعي من اشعر الراعى
15 ام ابن مقبل قال ما اقربهما قلت لا يقنعنا هذا قال الراعى اشبه
شعرا بالقديم وبالأول قلت فابن احمر (fol. 4) الباهلي قال ليس
بفحل ولكن دون هؤلاء وفوق طبقتة قال وارى ان مالك بن حريم
الهمداني من الفحول قال ولو قال ثعلبة بن صعير المازني مثل
قصيدته خمسا كان فحلا قلت فكعب بن جعيل قال اضنه من
الفحول ولا أستيقنه قلت فجرير والفرزدق والاختل قال هؤلاء لو
20 كانوا في الجاهلية كان لهم شأن ولا اقول فيهم شيئا لانهم اسلاميون

1) Ms. قمئة.

2) Ms. زبيد.

3) Apparently a leaf of one of the parent Mss. was misplaced, for this passage plainly belongs above, after the statement that al-A'šā was not a *faḥl*.

4) Ms. فالجويدرة.

5) Better حُجْمٌ or حَسَمٌ; Nöldeke, *Del. Carn.* p. 44, *Lisān* s. v.

حسم, etc.

6) Ms. ذؤاد.

قال ابو حاتم وكنت اسمعه يفضل جريرا على الفرزدق كثيرا فقلت له يوم¹) دخل عليه عصام بن الفيض انى اريد ان اسالك عن شىء ولو ان عصاما يعلمه من قبلك لم اسالك ثم قلت سمعتك تفضل جريرا على الفرزدق غير مرة فما تقول فيهما وفي الاخطل فاطرق ساعة ثم انشد بيننا من قصيدته²)

لَعَمْرِي لَقَدْ أُسْرِيْتُ لَا لَيْلَ عَاجِزٍ بِسَاهِمَةٍ³) اَلْحَدَّيْنِ طَاوِيَةِ الْقُرْبِ
فَانشَد ابياتا زهاء العشرة ثم قال من قال لك أن⁴) في الدنيا احدا قال مثلها قبله ولا بعده فلا تصدقه ثم قال ابو عمرو بن العلاء كان يفضل سمعت ابا عمرو بن العلاء يقول لو ادرك الاخطل من الجاهلية يوما واحدا ما قدمت عليه جاهليا ولا اسلاميا ثم⁵) قال الاصمعي⁶) انشدت ابا عمرو بن العلاء شعرا فقال ما يطيق هذا من الاسلاميين احد ولا الاخطل قال ابو حاتم وسألته عن الاغلب اهل هو من الرجاز فقال ليس بفاحل ولا مفلح وقد⁷) اعياني شعرة وقال لى مرة ما اروي للاغلب⁸) الا اثنتين ونصفا قلت كيف قلت نصفا قال اعرف له ثنتين وكنت اروي نصفا من التى على⁹) القاف فطولوها ثم قال كان ولده يزيدون في شعرة حتى افسدوه قال ابو حاتم وطلب منه اسحق بن العباس رجزا للاغلب¹⁰) وطلب منى [...]]¹¹) فاعرته¹²) فاخرج منها نحو من عشرين (fol. 5) فقلت الم تزعم انك لا تعرف له الا اثنتين ونصفا قال لى بلى ولكننى انتقيت¹³) ما اعرف فان لم يكن له فهو لغيره ممن هو¹⁴)

1) The line of Landberg's Ms. beginning with this word is queried.

2) *Dīwān*, ed. Salhani, p. 17, etc.

3) Ms. بساهمة.

4) Ms. أن.

5) Ms. للاصمعي.

6) Ms. وقال.

7) Ms. لا اغلب.

8) Ms. لاغلب.

9) Thus Landberg Ms.

10) Ms. فاعرته, corrected by Landberg's copyist.

11) Ms. اسعنت.

ثبت أو ثقة قال أبو حاتم وكان أروى الناس للرجز الاصمعي قال أبو حاتم سمعت مرة تجرانياً كان قد طاف بنواحي خراسان فسأله فقال أخبرني فلان بالبري أنك تروى اثني عشر¹⁾ ألف أرجوزة قال نعم أربعة عشر²⁾ ألف أرجوزة أحفظها فتعجبت فقال لي أكثرها 5 قصار قلت أجعلها بيتا بيتا أربعة عشر ألف بيت قال الاصمعي إنما أعياني شعر الأغلب قال خلف³⁾ فكان من ولده إنسان يصدق في الحديث والروايات ويكذب عليه في شعره قلت فحاتم الطائى قال حاتم إنما يُعدّ بكرم ولم يقل أنه فحل قلت فمُعقّر البارقى حليف بنى نمير قال لو أتم خمسا أو ستا لكان فحلا ثم قال لم أر أقل شعرا من كلب وشيبان قلت فابو ذؤيب الهذلى قال فحل قلت 10 فساعدة بن جوية قال فحل قلت فابو خراش الهذلى قال فحل قلت فاعشى همدان قال هو من الفحول وهو إسلامى كثير الشعر وسالت الاصمعي عن كعب بن سعد الغنوى قال ليس من الفحول الا فى المرثية فانه ليس فى الدنيا مثلها قال وكان يقال له كعب الأمثال 15 وسألته عن خفاف ابن ندبة وعنترة والزبرقان بن بدر قال هؤلاء اشعر الفرسان ومثلهم عباس بن مرداس السلمى لم يقل انهم من الفحول ويشر بن ابي خازم⁴⁾ وسمعت ابا عمرو بن العلاء يقول قصيدته التى على الرءأ ألحقته بالفحول

ألا بان الخليط ولم يدانى وقلبك فى الطعائن مُستعار⁵⁾

20 قلت فالاسود بن يعفر النهشلى قال يشبه الفحول قلت أرايت

1) Ms. عشرة. 2) Ms. اربع عشرة. 3) Ms. حلف.

4) Very often written حازم; thus e. g. Aghānī and Index, Yāqūt (see Index), Lane, *Lex.* s. v. عور, etc.

5) See Yāqūt I, 76, where the verse (in the recension of as-Sukkarī) is given in what appears to be the more primitive form, with يزاروا in place of يدانى. Yāqūt probably cites other portions of the same *qaṣīda* in I, 393 f., IV, 10, 47, 128, 480. So too, perhaps, the verse given in the *Lisān* and Lane s. v. عور.

عمرو بن شاس الأَسَدِي ما قلت فيه¹⁾ (fol. 6) قال ليس بفاحل هو
دون هَوْلَاء قلت فَلَيْبِيد بن ربيعة قال ليس بفاحل وقال لى مرة
اخرى كان رجلا صالحا كأنه ينفى عنه جودة الشعر وقال لى مرة
شعر لبيد كأنه طَيْلَسَانٌ طَبْرَقِي يعنى انه جيد الصنعة وليست له
حلاوة قال وجرادة بن عميلة العَنْزِي له اشعار تشبه اشعار الفحول⁵
وهى قصار وهذا البيت له

أَتَى أَهْتَدِيَّتِ وَكُنْتَ غَيْرَ دَلِيلَةٍ شَهِدْتُ عَلَيْكَ بِمَا فَعَلْتَ شُهُودٌ
قلت فأوس بن غلفاء الهَجِيمِي قال لو كان قال عشرين قصيدة
لُحِقَ بالفحول ولكنه قُطِعَ به قال وعميرة بن طارق اليربوعي من
رؤوس الفرسان هو الذى اسر قابوس بن المُنْذِرِ وسألته عن خِداش¹⁰
بن زهير العامري قال هو فحل قلت فكعب بن زهير بن ابي سلمى
قال ليس بفاحل قلت فزيد الخليل الطائى قال من الفرسان قلت
فَسَلَيْكَ بن السُّلَاكَةِ قال ليس من الفحول ولا من الفرسان ولكنه
من الذين كانوا يَعْزُونَ فيَعْدُونَ على ارجلهم فيختلسون قال ومثله
ابن بَرَاقَةَ الهَمْدَانِي ومثله حاجز الثُمَالِي من السَّرَوِيِّين وتَأَبَّطُ شَرًّا¹⁵
واسمه ثابت بن جابر والشَّنْفَرِي الأَزْدِي السَّرَوِي وليس المُنْتَشِر
منهم ولكن الاعلم الهذلي منهم قال وبالْحِجَاز منهم وبالسراة اكثر
من ثلاثين يعنى الذين يعدون على ارجلهم ويختلسون قال وسلامة
بن جَنْدَلٍ لو كان زاد شيئا كان فحلا قال والمُتَمَلِّسِ راس فحول
ربيعة قال ودريد بن الصِّمَّةِ من فحول الفرسان قال ودريد فى بعض²⁰
شعره اشعر من الذبياني وكاد يغلب الذبياني قلت فاعشى باهلة
امن الفحول هو قال نعم وله مَثْرِيَّةٌ ليس فى الدنيا مثلها وهى²⁾
أَتَى أَتَنَّنَى لِسَانٌ لَا أُسْرُ بِهَا مِنْ عَلُوِّ لَا كَذِبٌ فِيهَا وَلَا سَخَرٌ³⁾

1) Ms. منه.

3) Ms. سَخَّر.

2) The whole poem, Jamh. 135 ff. This opening verse also cited, in somewhat varying form, in the larger native lexicons, s. v. علو.

(fol. 7) 1) قال وولد العجاج في الجاهلية وكان حميد الأرقط
يُشدب الرجز وينقحه وينقيه قال ورايته يستجيد بعض رجز أبي
النجم ويضعف بعضا لان له رديئا كثيرا قال مرة لا يعجبني شاعر
اسمه الفصل بن قدامة يعني ابا النجم قال ابو حاتم وسألت الاصمعي
5 عن القحيف العامري الذي قال في النساء قال ليس بفصيح ولا
حجة وسألته عن زياد الاعجم فقال حجة لم يتعلق عليه بلحن
وكنيته ابو أمامة قلت فاخبرني عن عبد بنى الحساس قال هو
فصيح وهو زحجى اسود قال وابو 2) دلامة عبد رايته مولد حبشى
قلت افسيجا 3) كان قال هو صالح الفصاحة قال وابو عطاء السندى
10 عبد أخرب مشقوق الاذن قلت أو كان 4) في الاعراب قال لا ولكنه
فصيح قال عبد العزيز بن مروان 5) لايمن بن خريم 6) الاسدى كيف
ترى 7) مولاى يعنى نصيبا قال هو اشعر اهل جلدته وكان اسود
قال وعمر بن ابي ربيعة مولد وهو حجة سمعت ابا عمرو بن العلاء
يحتج في النحو بشعره ويقول هو حجة وفضالة بن شريك الاسدى
15 وعبد الله بن الزبير الاسدى وابن الرقيات هؤلاء مولدون وشعرهم
حجة ورايته طعن في الاقيشر ولم يلتفت الى شعره وقال ولا يقال
الا رجل شرطى فقلت قال الاقيشر 8)

إنما يشرب 9) من أموالنا فاسألوا الشرطى ما هذا الغضب

فقال ذاك مولد قال وابن هرمة 10) ثبت فصيح قال وابن أنينة
20 ثبت في طبقة ابن هرمة وهو دونه في الشعر وقد كان مالك يروى

1) It is possible that something is missing here. 2) Ms. أبو.

3) Ms. افسيح. 4) Ms. وكان. 5) Ms. موت (sic).

6) Ms. خريم, corrected (by Landberg?) to خريم. Often written خريم,
see however Suyūṭī, *Husn*, I, 79, also Agh. XXI, 7.

7) Ms. بىرى.

8) Agh. X, 91.

9) So orig. Ms., but corrected by copyist to نشرب. See note on the
Translation.

10) Ms. هرمة.

عنه الفقه قال وطفيل الكِنَانِي مثل ابن هرمة قال ويزيد بن ضبة
 مولى لتقيف قال قال يزيد بن ضبة الف قصيدة فاقتسمتها العرب
 فذهبت بها قال الاصمعي لم يكن بعد روبة وابي (fol. 8) نُخَيْلَة
 اشعر من جَنْدَل الطُّهَوِي وابي طَوْف وخطام الجُشَاشِي ويلقب خطام
 الريح قال وكان ابن مُفَرِّغ من موثدي البصرة قال حدثني الاصمعي 5
 قال اخبرني وهب بن جرير بن حازم قال قال ابى 1) كنت اروي
 لأمية ثلثمائة قصيدة قال فقلت اين كتابه قال استعاره فلان فذهب
 به حدثني الاصمعي قال كان يقال اشعر الناس مغلبو مضر حميد
 والراعي وابن مقبل فاما الراعي فغلبه جرير وغلبه خنزر رجل من
 بنى بكر وللجعدى غلبته ليلى الاخيلىة وسوار ابن الحيا وابن مقبل 10
 غلبه النجاشي من بنى الحارث بن كعب وحميد كل من هاجاه
 غلبه قال ابن احرر لم يهاج احدا قال وفُسَّحُم شاعر جامع مقلف 2)
 ولم ينسبه قال وكان النجاشي بن الحارثية 3) شرب الخمر فضربه على
 بن ابى طالب رضه مائة سوط ثمانين للسكر وعشرين لحرمة رمضان
 وكان وجدته 4) في رمضان سكران فلما ضربه ذهب الى معوية 15
 فمدحه * ونال من 5) على رضه 6) قال الاصمعي جامع [زهير] 7) قوما
 من يهود اى قاربهم فسمع بذكر المعاد فقال في قصيدته

يُوخَّرُ فَيُوضَعُ فِي كِتَابٍ فَيُدْخَرُ لِيَوْمِ الْحِسَابِ أَوْ يُعْجَلُ فَيُنْقَمُ 8)

1) Ms. ابى. 2) Ms. مقلف. 3) Ms. الحارثية. 4) Ms. وحده.

5) Ms. ونال. In a marginal note Goldziher suggests the reading ونال instead of وقال. This change seems to me to necessitate reading من in place of في. I have adopted both emendations. If the reading of the Ms. is retained, we must suppose that a passage has been lost here; a supposition which may seem to be supported by the absence of the name (Zuhair) in what immediately follows.

6) Something missing here?

7) This name is wanting in the Ms., but fortunately the following lines are very well known as belonging to Zuhair; Arnold, *Mo'all.* p. 78, Ahlw. 95, Nöldeke, *Die Mo'allaga Zuhair's*, pp. 16, 30, etc.; see also my *Commercial Terms in the Koran*, p. 10.

8) Ms. فينتقم.

قال الاصمعي سئل شيخ عالم عن الشعراء فقال كان الشعر في
 الجاهلية في ربيعة وصار في قيس ثم جاء الاسلام فصار في تهيم قلت
 للاصمعي لم لم يذكر اليمين [قال] ¹⁾ انما اراك بنى نزار فاما هؤلاء
 كلهم فانما تعلموا من راس الشعراء امرئ القيس وانما كان الشعر
 5 في اليمين وقال ابي الدنيا مثل فرسان قيس وشعراؤهم ²⁾ الفرسان
 فذكر عدة منهم عنتره وخفاف بن ندبة وعباس بن مرداس ودريد
 بن الصمة وقال لى مرة دريد وخفاف اشعر الفرسان حدثني الاصمعي
 [قال] ¹⁾ ذهب امية بن ابي الصلت في الشعر (fol. 9) بعامته ذكر
 الآخرة و[ذهب عنتره بعامته] ³⁾ ذكر الحرب وذهب عمر بن ابي ربيعة
 10 بعامته ذكر النساء ⁴⁾ قال الاصمعي لقي رجلا كثير عزة وهو كثير بن
 عبد الرحمن الخزاعي ابن ابي جمعة فقال له يا ابا صخر اي الناس
 اشعر قال الذي قال

أثرت إدلجى على ليل حرة قصيم الحشا حسنة المتجرد ⁵⁾

وهذا للحطيئة قال ثم تركه حينما حتى اذا ظنه قد نسي
 15 ذلك لقيه فقال يا ابا صخر اي الناس اشعر قال الذي يقول

فما نبيك من ذكري حبيب ومنزل ⁶⁾

يعنى أمرا القيس وهو أول من بكى الديار وسير ⁷⁾ الطعن قال
 الاصمعي انعت الناس لمركوب من الابل عيينة ⁸⁾ بن مرداس وهو
 الذي يقال له [ابن] ⁹⁾ فسوة وانعت الناس لمُحلوب في انقصيد الراعي
 20 وانعتهم لمُحلوب في الرجز ابن لَجَا التيمى ¹⁰⁾ واسمه عمر قال الاصمعي

1) Ms. omits. 2) Ms. وشعراؤهم. 3) Bracketed words om. in Ms.

4) This saying also quoted from al-Aṣma'ī in Agh. III, 188, below.

5) Dīwān, Cairo 1323, p. 21; ed. Goldziher, ZDMG. 46, 203; Agh.

II, 61; *Lisān*, s. v. دلج.

6) The opening line of Imrulqais' *Mu'allaqa*.

7) Ms. وسير. 8) Ms. عتيبة. 9) Ms. om. 10) Ms. التيمى.

أى الناس اشعر قبيلة فقيل النجل العيون فى ضلال الفسيل يعنى
الانصار قال ويقال النرق العيون فى اصول العضاء يعنى بنى قيس
بن ثعلبة وذكر منهم المرقش والاعشى والمسيب بن علس حدثنا
الاصمعى قال حدثنا ابن ابى الزناد قال أنشد حسان شعر عمرو
بن العاص فقال ما هو شاعر ولكنه عاقل قال الاصمعى سئل الاخطل⁵
عن شعر كثير فقال حجازى يكبد¹ البرد قال الاصمعى يوما أشعرت
ان ليلى اشعر من الخنساء وقال لى مرة النبرقان فارس شاعر غير
مطيل وقال مالك بن نويرة شاعر فارس مطيل قال ليس فى الدنيا
قبيلة على كثرتها اقل شعرا من بنى شيبان وكتب قال وليس لكلب
شاعر فى الجاهلية قديم قال وكتب مثل شيبان اربع مرار حدثنا ابو¹⁰
حاتم قال حدثنا الاصمعى قال قيل لحسان من اشعر الناس قال
اشعرهم (fol. 10) رجلا ام قبيلة قيل² قال بل قبيلة قال هذيل
قال الاصمعى فيهم اربعون شاعرا معلقا³ وكلهم يعدو على رجله
ليس فيهم فارس قال ابو حاتم سالت الاصمعى فمن اشعرهم رجلا
واحدا قال اما حسان فلم يقل فى الواحد شيئا وانا اقول اشعرهم¹⁵
واحدا النابغة الذبياني وهو ابن خمسين سنة وانما قال الشعر
قليلًا وقال النابغة الجعدى أحّم ثلاثين سنة بعد ما قال الشعر ثم
نبغ قال والشعر الاول من قوله جيّد بالغ والآخر كله مسروق وليس
بجيّد قال ابو حاتم قال الشعر وهو ابن ثلاثين سنة ثم احّم ثلاثين
سنة ثم نبغ فقال ثلاثين سنة قلت للاصمعى كيف شعر الفرزدق²⁰
قال تسعة اعشار شعره سرقة قال وأما جرير فله ثلاثون قصيدة ما
علمته سرق شيئا قطّ الا نصف بيت قال لا ادري لعله * وافق
بينى شيئا قلت ما هو هجاء فلم يجبر⁴ قال ابو حاتم قد رايت

1) inserted here in Landberg's hand. See note on the Translation.

2) Ms. قال.

3) Ms. معلقا.

4) This passage is queried in the Ms. The text is corrupt, and it may be that something is missing.

انا بعد في شعره قال ابو حاتم حدثنا الاصمعي قال اظن¹ جميل
بن مَعْمَر ولد في الجاهلية قال والاحوص مؤتد نبت بقُباء حتى هرم
حدثنا الاصمعي قال قال فلان انما كثير كُرْبَجٍ يعنى صاحب كرج
قال يبيع الخيط والقطران قال الاصمعي كان ابو ذؤيب راوية ساعدة
5 وشذ² عليه في اشياء كثيرة * فذكر في قافية والّج في شعرهم³ قال
واستجاد هذه للجيمية لابي ذؤيب قال ليس في الدنيا احد يقوم
للشماخ في الزائية ولجيمية الا ان ابا ذؤيب اجاد⁴ في جيميته حدا
لا يقوم له احد قال هي التي قال فيها

بَرَكَ مِنْ جُدَامَ لَيْبِجٍ⁵

10 قال الاصمعي قال النمر بن تولب جاهلي اسلامي قال وقال
الفرزدق للنوار امراته كيف شعري من شعر جرير فقالت شركك⁶
في حلوه وغلبك على مرة قال الاصمعي قال سمعت ابا سفيان بن
العلاء يقول قلت لرؤية كيف رجز ابي (fol. 11) النجم عندك⁷
فقال كلمته تلك عليها لعنة الله لانه استجادها

للمد لله الوهوب المَجْزِلِ⁸

حدثنا الاصمعي قال الكميت بن زيد ليس بحجة لانه مؤتد
وكذلك الطرمّاح قال وذو الرمة حجة لانه بدوي ولكن ليس يشبه
شعره شعر العرب ثم قال الا واحدة التي تشبه العرب وهي التي
يقول فيها

والباب دون ابي غسان مسدود

تم والله اعلم

م

1) Ms. ظن. 2) Ms. وشذ. 3) The passage cannot be right as it stands. It is queried in the Ms. 4) Ms. احاد.

5) Dīwān, Yale University Library, Ms. 303, fol. 103a; Haffner, *Texte zur arab. Lexikographie*, 116; *Lisān* s. v. برك, جذم, شيب, صرع, لبيج; Yāqūt I, 852, III, 244.

6) Ms. شركك.

7) Ms. عبدك.

8) Agh. IX, 81, 10.

فهرس الشعراء والأعلام

- أ
- اسحاق بن العباس : ١٣
الاسود بن يعقوب : ١٤
أعشى باهلة : ١٨
أعشى قيس : ١١ ، ١٢ ، ١٩
أعشى همدان : ١٤
الاعلم الهذلي : ١٥
الاعلب : ١٣
الاقيشر : ١٦
امرؤ القيس : ٩ ، ١٠ ، ١٨
أمية بن أبي الصلت : ١٨
اوس بن حجر : ٩ ، ١٠
اوس بن غلفاء : ١٥
ايمين بن خريم : ١٦
- ب
- بشر ابن أبي خازم : ١٤
- ت
- تأبط شرأ : ١٥
- ث
- ثعلبة بن صعير : ١٢
- ج
- جرارة بن عميلة : ١٥
جرير : ٩ ، ١٢ ، ١٣ ، ١٧ ، ١٩ ، ٢٠
الجمدي ، النابغة : ١٧
- ابن أبي جمعة : ١٨
ابن أبي الزناد : ١٩
ابن أحرر الباهلي : ١٢ ، ١٧
ابن أذينة : ١٦
ابن براءة الهمداني : ١٥
ابن الرقيات : ١٦
ابن لجأ : ١٨
ابن مفرغ : ١٧
ابن مقبل : ١٢ ، ١٧
ابن منذر : ١١
ابن هرمة : ١٦ ، ١٧
أبو خراش : ١٤
أبو دلامة : ١٦
أبو دؤاد الايادي : ١٢
أبو ذؤيب : ٢٠
أبو زبيد الطائي : ١٢
أبو سفيان بن العلاء : ٢٠
أبو طروق : ١٧
أبو عطاء السندي : ١٦
أبو عمرو بن العلاء : ١٣ ، ١٤ ، ١٦
أبو النجم الراجز : ١٦ ، ٢٠
أبو نخبلة : ١٧
الأحوص : ٢٠
الاختل : ١٢ ، ١٣

زيد الخليل الطائي : ١٥
زياد الاعجم : ١٦

س

ساعدة بن جؤية : ١٤
سحم الجاهلي : ١٧
سلامة بن جندل : ١٥
سوار بن الجباء : ١١ ، ١٧

ش

الشباخ بن ضرار : ١٢ ، ٢٠
الشنفوري : ١٥
شيخ من أهل نجد : ١٠

ط

الطرمّاح : ٢٠
طفيل الغنوي : ١٠
طفيل الكناني : ١٧

ع

عباس بن مرداس : ١٤ ، ١٨
عبد بني الحسحاس : ١٦
عبد العزيز بن مروان : ١٦
عبدالله بن الزبير الاسدي : ١٦
المعجاج : ١٦
عدي بن زيد : ١١
عروة بن الورد : ١٢
عصام بن الفيض : ١٣
علقمة بن عبدة : ١١
علي بن أبي طالب : ١٧
عمر بن أبي ربيعة : ١٦ ، ١٧
عمرو بن شاس : ١٥

جميل بن معمر : ٢٠
جندل الطهوي : ١٧

ح

حاجز الثمالي : ١٥
الحارث بن حلزة : ١١
حاتم الطائي : ١٤
حسان بن ثابت : ١١ ، ١٩
الخطيبنة : ١٨
heid الارقط : ١٦ ، ١٧
الحويذرة : ١٢

خ

خدّاش بن زهير : ١٥
خطام الجاشمي : ١٧
خفاف بن ندبة : ١٤ ، ١٨
خلف الاحمر : ١٤
خنزر : ١٧
الجلساء : ١٩

د

دريد بن الصمه : ١٥ ، ١٨

ذ

ذو الرمة : ٢٠

ر

الراعي : ١٧ ، ١٨
رؤبة : ١٧ ، ٢٠

ز

الزبرقان بن جذر : ١٤ ، ١٩
زهير بن أبي سلمى : ٩ ، ١٠ ، ١٧

ل

- لمبيد بن ربيعة : ١٥
ليلي الاخيلية : ١٧ ، ١٩

م

- مالك بن حريم : ١٢
مالك بن نويرة : ١٩
المتلمس : ١٥
المرقش : ١٩
المرقشان : ١٢
مزدرد بن ضرار : ١٢
المسيب بن علس : ١١ ، ١٩
معاوية بن أبي سفيان : ١٠ ، ١٧
معقر البارقي : ١٤
المنتشر : ١٥
مهلهل : ١٢

ن

- النايعة الذيباني : ٩ ، ١٠ ، ١١ ، ١٥ ، ١٩
النجاشي : ١٧
نصيب : ١٦
النمر بن قولب : ٢٠
النوار : ٢٠

ي

- يزيد بن ضبة : ١٧

عمرو بن طارق : ١٥

عمرو بن العاص : ١٩

عمرو بن قميثة : ١٠ ، ١٢

عمرو بن كلثوم : ١١

عنقرة بن شداد : ١٤ ، ١٨

عيننة بن مرداس : ١٨

ف

الفوزدق : ١٢ ، ١٣ ، ١٩ ، ٢٠

فضالة بن شريك : ١٦

الفضل بن قدامة : ١٦

ق

قايوس بن المنذر : ١٥

القحيف العامري : ١٦

قيس بن الخطيم : ١١

قيصر : ١٠

ك

كثير عزة : ١٨ ، ١٩

كعب بن جميل : ١٢

كعب بن زهير : ١٥

كعب بن سعد : ١٤

الكيت بن زيد : ٢٠

The curse of Allah is upon this phrase of his, because *he* thought it excellent:

Praise to Allah, the bountiful giver.

Al-Aṣmaʿī said, moreover: Al-Kumait ibn Zaid was not normative
5 (ك.س), because he was an Arab only by adoption; the same was true of aṭ-Ṭirimmāḥ. Dū 'r-Rumma was normative, for he was a bedawī. His poetry, however, is not like the poetry of the true Arabs; excepting (he added) one poem in which he resembles them; that one, namely, in which he says:

10 And on Abū Ghassān the door is barred.

ancient poet in the Jāhiliya, and yet Kalb was four times as good as Šaibān.

Said Abū Ḥātim: We have the following from al-Aṣma'ī. Some one asked of Ḥassān: Whom do you call foremost in poetry? He answered: (fol. 10) Do you mean What man? or What tribe? I 5 meant the tribe. Ḥudāil is the foremost, he replied. (Said al-Aṣma'ī: There were in that tribe forty notable poets. They were all men who ran on foot, not one of them was a knight.) Said Abū Ḥātim: I asked al-Aṣma'ī: What one man, then, was the foremost of the poets? He replied: Ḥassān did not express his 10 opinion as to the individual, but I will give mine. The one greatest of them all was an-Nābigha of Dubyān, when he was fifty years of age. Nor did he compose much poetry. As for an-Nābigha al-Ja'dī, on the other hand, the flow of his poetry was stopped for thirty years after his first productive period, and then the stream 15 flowed again. The poetry of his first period was extremely good, but all his later productions were unoriginal (مسروق) and of poor quality. (Said Abū Ḥātim: He began composing poetry when he was thirty years old; then he ceased for thirty years; then the flow was renewed for thirty years more.) I said to al-Aṣma'ī: 20 How about the poetry of al-Farazdaq? He replied: Nine tenths of his poetry was borrowed. As for Jarīr, he composed thirty *qaṣīda's*, and I do not know that he ever plagiarized anything except one half-verse.¹⁾ According to Abū Ḥātim, al-Aṣma'ī said: I think that Jamīl ibn Ma'mar was born of a slave mother; 25 he flourished in Qubā' until his old age.

This from al-Aṣma'ī: Some one once said of Kutayyir that he was a small shop selling thread and tar. Said al-Aṣma'ī: Abū Du'aib was an excellent authority, and many instances of exceptional usage were based on his poetry.²⁾ He (al-Aṣma'ī) admired 30 this *jīm*-poem by Abū Du'aib; No one in the world, he said, could equal aš-Sammāḥ in his poems in *zāy* and *jīm* except Abū Du'aib, who in his poem in *jīm* reached such a limit of excellence as no other could equal; namely, in the poem containing the words:

The kneeling camel herd of Judām.

35

Said al-Aṣma'ī: an-Namir ibn Taulab flourished both in the Jāhiliya and in Islam. He also narrated: Al-Farazdaq once said to his wife, Nawār: How does my verse compare with that of Jarīr? She answered: He equalled you in the sweet, and conquered you in the bitter. Al-Aṣma'ī said further: I heard Abū 40 Sufyān ibn al-'Alā' say that he once asked of Ru'ba: What do you think of the *rajaz*-poetry of Abū 'n-Najm? (fol. 11) He replied:

1) The text seems to be defective here, and I have left a passage untranslated.

2) See the note on the text.

I heard this from al-Aṣma'ī: Umayya ibn Abū 'ṣ-Ṣalt was supreme and unapproached in poetry (fol. 9) which had for its subject the world to come; 'Antara, where the subject was war; and 'Umar ibn Abū Rabī'a, where the subject was women.

5 According to al-Aṣma'ī, some one once met Kuṭayyir, the lover of 'Azza (this was Kuṭayyir ibn 'Abd ar-Raḥmān, al-Ḥuzā'ī, Ibn Abū Jum'a), and said to him: O Abū Ṣaḥr, who was the greatest poet? He answered: The one who said:

I count my night ride better than a night with one free-born,
10 Slender of waist, most beautiful where stripped of clothing.

Now this was a verse of al-Ḥuṭai'a's¹⁾. Thereupon he left him for a while, until he thought he had forgotten the incident; then he met him again, and said: O Abū Ṣaḥr, who was the greatest poet? He answered: The one who said:

15 Stand, let us weep at the remembrance of a beloved one and her abode;

meaning Imrulqais, who was the first of the poets to depict weeping over deserted dwelling-places and the journeying of the howdas.

Said al-Aṣma'ī: The best at describing riding-camels was
20 'Uyaina ibn Mirdās (the one who was called Ibn Faswa²⁾); for description of milch-camels, the best in the *qaṣīda* form was ar-Rā'ī, and in *rajaz* verse Ibn Laja' at-Taimī (whose name was 'Umar). Said al-Aṣma'ī: What tribe or company of men ranked highest in the poetry it produced? Some say, The large-eyed ones in the
25 shady palm-gardens, meaning the Anṣār; others say, The blue-eyed ones at the root of the thorn-bushes, meaning the Banī Qais ibn Ṭa'labā; and he mentioned of their number al-Muraqqiṣ, al-A'šā, and al-Musayyab ibn 'Alas. Said al-Aṣma'ī: I heard the following
30 verses by 'Amr ibn al-Āṣī, and said: He is no poet, but a man of sharp wit. Said al-Aṣma'ī: Al-Aḥṭal was asked about the poetry of Kuṭayyir, and replied: A Hijāzite, who fastens the cloak firmly³⁾. Al-Aṣma'ī once said: Do you know that Lailā was a better poet than al-Ḥansā'? And he said to me on another occasion: Az-
35 Zibriqān was a poet-knight (فارس شاعر) who did not make a long story; Mālik ibn Nuwaira, on the other hand, was a poet-knight who did make a long story. No tribe in the world, he said, was less productive of poetry, in proportion to its number, than the Banī Ṣaibān and Kalb. In the latter tribe there was not a single

1) The same story in different form, and on other authority, in Agh. II, 61. See also the anecdote there, at the bottom of the page.

2) See especially Agh. XIX, 143.

3) What the phrase (يكد البرد) means here, I do not know. It evidently puzzled Landberg also; see the note on the text.

'Hiṭam of the Wind"). Ibn Mufarrigh was one of the *muwallads*¹⁾ of Baṣra. Al-Aṣma'ī narrates that he heard the following from Wahb ibn Jarīr ibn Ḥāzim. My father once said to me: I was wont to recite three hundred *qaṣīda*'s of Umayya. I asked: Where is the collection now? He replied: Such-a-one borrowed it and 5 carried it off.

Said al-Aṣma'ī: It used to be said that the best of all the poets were "the Vanquished of Muḍar", namely Ḥumaid, ar-Rā'ī, and Ibn Muqbil²⁾. As for ar-Rā'ī, he was vanquished by Jarīr, and also by Ḥanzar, one of the Banī Bakr. Lailā of Aḥyal over- 10 came al-Ja'dī, and so also did Sawwār [ibn Aufā] ibn al-Ḥayā³⁾. Ibn Muqbil was beaten by an-Najāšī, one of the Banī 'l-Ḥarīṭ ibn Ka'b⁴⁾. As for Ḥumaid, every one who attacked him vanquished him. Ibn Aḥmar⁵⁾ (said he) did not satirise any one. Fuṣhum⁶⁾ was mentioned by him as a notable poet of the Jāhiliya, but he 15 did not give his lineage. He said of an-Najāšī ibn al-Ḥarīṭiya: He was guilty of wine-drinking, and 'Alī ibn Abū Ṭālib punished him by beating with a hundred stripes; eighty for drunkenness, and twenty for violating Ramaḍān (for he had found him drunk in the sacred month). So when he had been beaten, he went away to 20 Mu'āwiya, and composed verse in praise of him, and vituperated 'Alī⁷⁾.

Said al-Aṣma'ī: [Zuhair] became intimate with certain Jews, and learned from them about the resurrection. Therefore he said in his *qaṣīda*: 25

Either it is postponed, put down in a book, and stored
For the Day of Account; or else 'tis hastened, and soon avenged.

Said al-Aṣma'ī: A learned ṣāliḥ was asked about the poets, and replied: In the time before Muḥammad, poetry flourished first in Rabī'a; then it went over to Tamīm. I said to al-Aṣma'ī: Why 30 did he not mention Yemen? He replied: He was only speaking of the Banī Nizār; as for these, they all learned the poetic art from Imrulqais, the chief of the poets; Yemen was the home of poetry. And he said: Are there any in the world equal to the knights of Qais? their poets were indeed the *fursān*. Then he 35 mentioned a number, among them 'Antara, Ḥufāf ibn Nadba, 'Abbās ibn Mirdās, and Duraid ibn aṣ-Ṣimma. On another occasion he said to me: Duraid and Ḥufāf were the best poets of the *fursān*⁸⁾.

1) Arabs by adoption, not of pure blood. Generally meaning one born of a slave mother.

2) The name of Nābigha Ja'dī seems to be accidentally omitted here; see just below.

3) Agh. IV, 131, 6 from bottom, 132f.

4) *Kāmīl* 187, 6.

5) *i. e.* 'Amr ibn Aḥmar al-Bāhili, another of the poets of Muḍar.

6) So pointed in Ms. I have found no other mention of him.

7) See the note on the text.

8) Cf. the similar estimate given above, and see the Introduction.

Said Abū Ḥātim: I asked al-Aṣma'ī about al-Quḥaif al-'Āmirī — who made verses about women — and he said: His diction is neither classically elegant nor normative¹⁾. Upon my asking him about Ziyād al-A'jam, he said: He is normative; no solecism has
 5 been attached to him; and his *kunya* was Abū Umāma. I said: Tell me about the slave of the Banī 'l-Ḥaṣḥās²⁾. He replied: His verse was classically elegant, though he was a negro. Abū Dulāma was also a slave, I think he was the adopted son of an Abyssinian. I asked: Was his poetry classically chaste? He replied: It was
 10 of good quality in this regard. Moreover, Abū 'Aṭā' as-Sindī was a slave whose ear was pierced. Was he then one of the genuine Arabs?, I queried. No, but his diction was chaste. 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Marwān once said to Aiman ibn Ḥuraim of Asad: What do you think of my *maulā*? meaning Nuṣaib. Aiman answered: He
 15 is a better poet than any other man of his skin (for he was a negro)³⁾. Furthermore, 'Umar ibn Abū Rabī'a was the son of a slave mother, yet his poetry was considered normative; I have heard Abū 'Amr ibn al-'Alā' cite it as proof of correct grammatical usage, and formally declare it to be such. Also Faḍāla ibn Šarik
 20 of Asad, and 'Abdallāh ibn az-Zubair of the same tribe, and Ibn ar-Ruqayyāt⁴⁾, these all were sons of slave parents, yet their verse is normative. I saw, however, that he disparaged al-Uqaišir, and did not feel inclined toward his poetry; he said of him: He was only the "policeman" poet. Yes, I answered, it was al-Uqaišir who said:

25 You see, he 's drinking at our own expense!

So ask the policeman, Wherefore all this wrath?⁵⁾

He was slave-born, al-Aṣma'ī continued. Ibn Harma was both reliable and elegant in his compositions; Ibn Uḍaina was reliable, and in the same class (طَبَقَة) as Ibn Harma, but was inferior to
 30 him in his poetry⁶⁾. Mālik cited traditions on his authority, in his jurisprudence. Ṭufail of Kināna is also to be classed with Ibn Harma. Yazīd ibn Dabba was a *maulā* of the tribe Taqīf. He composed a thousand *qaṣīda*'s, but the Arabs divided them up and carried them off.

35 Al-Aṣma'ī said, moreover: After Ru'ba and Abū Nuḥaila (fol. 8) there were no poets more worthy of the name than Jandal at-Ṭuhawī and Abū Ṭauq and Ḥiṭām al-Mujāšī'ī (who was nicknamed

1) More exactly: to be used as proof (مَعْرُوفٌ) of correct usage.

2) *i. e.* Suḥaim.

3) The whole anecdote is given in Agh. I, 131.

4) *i. e.* 'Ubaidallāh ibn Qais ar-Ruqayyāt.

5) The story of al-Uqaišir's adventure with the policeman is told in Agh. X, 87 f., 91. According to the latter passage, the officer of the law, who had come to arrest al-Uqaišir for drunkenness, was himself made tipsy by wine which the poet supplied to him by means of a tube passed through the key-hole of the barricaded door.

6) Cf. Agh. IV, 113, 2 f.

I asked him about Ḥidaš ibn Zuhair al-Āmirī, and he replied:
He is a *fahl*.

Ka'b ibn Zuhair ibn Abū Sulmā?

Not a *fahl*.

Zaid al-Ḥail aṭ-Ṭā'ī?

One of the *fursān*.

Sulaik ibn as-Sulaka?

Not one of the *fuḥūl*, nor was he one of the *fursān*. He belonged to the number of those who used to make forays, running on their own feet and taking plunder. Another of the same sort 10 was Ibn Barrāqa of Hamdān¹⁾, and still others were Ḥājiz²⁾ aṭ-Ṭumālī, of the *Sarwiyyūn*³⁾, and Ta'abbāṭa Šarran (whose name was Ṭābit ibn Jābir), and aš-Šanfarā al-Azdī, the *Sarwī*. Al-Muntašir was not of their number, but al-A'lam of Ḥudail belonged to them. Some of them dwelt in the Ḥijāz, and in the Sarāt there 15 were more than thirty (*i. e.*, those who used to run on their own feet and take plunder).

He also said: If Salāma ibn Jandal had accomplished somewhat more, he would have been a *fahl*. Al-Mutalammis is the chief *fahl* of Rabī'a. Duraid ibn aš-Šimma is one of the *fuḥūl* among 20 the *fursān*. Moreover, Duraid in some of his poetry surpasses Nābigha of Dubyān in the art; he did, indeed, come near to vanquishing the Dubyānī.

I said: How about A'šā of Bāhila, is he one of the *fuḥūl*?

He answered: Yes, and there is an elegy of his which has 25 not its equal in the world, namely:

There has come to me a report, at which I am not rejoiced,
From the height; a report in which there is neither lie nor
mockery.

..... (fol. 7) He proceeded⁴⁾: Al-'Ajjāj was born in the Jāhi- 30
liya. Ḥumaid al-Arqaṭ used to prune and polish and purify the
rajaz poetry. I saw that he (al-Aṣma'ī) pronounced some of Abū
n-Najm's *rajaz* verse good, and some of it defective, for he com-
posed much that was bad. On one occasion he said: I am not
much impressed with a poet whose name is al-Faḍl ibn Qudāma 35
(meaning Abū n-Najm).

1) *Kāmil* 152, 19, Yāqūt III, 300.

2) Cf. Agh. XII, 49 below.

3) Those whose home was in the Sarāt, a mountainous district of Tihāma bordering on Yemen, whose inhabitants were noted for purity of speech (Yāqūt III, 66 f.: *قال أبو عمرو بن العلاء أفصحُ الناس أهل السروات*). See just below, also Yāqūt III, 65 ff. (p. 65 line 8, for *أرمينية* read *اليمن*?), Hamdānī ed. Müller, pp. 48 f., 67 ff.

4) Something missing here?

Fahl.

Abū Ḥirāš of Hudail?

Fahl.

A'šā of Hamdān?

5 He is one of the *fuḥūl*, though of Islām, and the author of much poetry¹).

I asked al-Aṣma'ī about Ka'b ibn Sa'd al-Ghanawī; and he answered: He is not one of the *fuḥūl*, except in his elegies; in that particular no other has equalled him. He added: He used to
10 be called "the Ka'b of the Proverbs".

I asked him also about Ḥufāf ibn Nadba, and 'Antara, and az-Zibriqān ibn Badr; and he replied: These are the best poets of the *fursān*²); and in the same rank with them is 'Abbās ibn Mirdās of Sulaim (he did not say that they were of the *fuḥūl*), and Bišr
15 ibn Abū Ḥāzim. I heard Abū 'Amr ibn al-'Alā' say: His (Bišr's) *qaṣīda* rhyming in the letter *r* brought him into the company of the *fuḥūl*:

Ah, the horde has departed, without drawing near,
And thy heart, borrowed from thee, is in their howdas.

20 (Said Abū Ḥatim) I proceeded: What of al-Aswad ibn Ya'fur an-Nahšalī?

He replied: He resembles (يشبه) the *fuḥūl*.

Then as to 'Amr ibn Ša's al-Asadī, what do you say regarding him? (fol. 6).

25 He is not a *fahl*, but is below that rank.
And Labīd ibn Rabī'a?

No *fahl*. Moreover, on another occasion al-Aṣma'ī described Labīd to me as "a good man" — as though he intended to deny to him any high merit as a poet. And he once said to me:
30 Labīd's poetry is like a mantle from Ṭabaristān; meaning that it was well woven, but without elegance.

He said also: Jarāda ibn 'Umaila al-'Anazī composed some poems which resemble those of the *fuḥūl*, but they are short. This verse is one of his:

35 How wert thou led aright, when thou hadst no guide?
There are those who witness against thee what thou didst.

What of Aus ibn Ghalfā' al-Hujaimī?

If he had composed twenty *qaṣīda*'s, he would have joined the *fuḥūl*; but he is cut short of it.

40 He also said to me: 'Umaira ibn Ṭāriq al-Yarbū'ī was one of the chiefs of the *fursān*; he it was who took captive Qābūs ibn al-Mundir³).

1) See the Introduction.

2) See the Introduction, and cf. Agh. XVI, 139, where Ibn Sallām's ranking of Ḥufāf in the "fifth class" of the *fursān* is mentioned.

3) Namely, at Ṭihfa. Bekrī 452, Yāqūt III, 519.

before al-Aḥṭal or after him, do not believe him. Then he said: Abū 'Amr ibn al-'Alā' also used to prefer him (to the other two); I have heard him say: If al-Aḥṭal had lived but one single day in the Jāhiliya, I would not give any poet, *jāhili* or *islāmī*, the precedence over him¹). Said al-Aṣma'ī: I once recited to Abū 'Amr 5 ibn al-'Alā' a certain poem, and he said: No one of the Islamic poets could equal this, not even al-Aḥṭal.

Said Abū Ḥātim: I also asked him about al-Aghlab, whether he was a *fahl*, among the *rajaz* poets; and he said: He is not a *fahl* nor even successful, and his verse wearies me. And on another 10 occasion he said to me: I only hand down from al-Aghlab two poems and a half. I said: What do you mean by the 'half'? He replied: I know two poems of his, and I used to hand down half of the one which rhymes in *qāf* — for they have lengthened it. And he continued: His children were wont to add to his poems, 15 until they spoiled them. Said Abū Ḥātim: Ishāq ibn al-'Abbās²) asked from him a *rajaz* verse of al-Aghlab, and he sought from me³) I loaned him he brought forth about twenty (poems). (fol. 5) I said: Did you not declare that you knew only two and a half? He answered me: Yes, but I have sorted those 20 which I know, and so far as they are not his, they belong at least to others who are classically valid and trustworthy. Said Abū Ḥātim: No other man could recite so many *rajaz* verses as al-Aṣma'ī. I once heard a man of Najrān who had travelled about in the regions of Khorasān question him, saying: Such-a-one in 25 Rai told me that you could recite twelve thousand *rajaz* poems. Yes, he answered, there are fourteen thousand *rajaz* poems which I hold in my memory. I was amazed at this, but he said to me: Most of them are short. I said: Deliver them, verse by verse, fourteen thousand verses. But he answered: Only the poetry of 30 al-Aghlab makes the task too tiresome for me. (Said Ḥalaf: One of the sons of al-Aghlab was a man who was trustworthy in the matter of tradition and narrative, but lied about his father's poetry.)

I proceeded: What of Ḥātim aṭ-Ṭā'ī?

Ḥātim, he answered, is only counted as "noble" (يَعَدُّ بِكَرْمٍ); 35 he did not say that he was a *fahl*.

And Mu'aqqir al-Bāriqī, the *ḥatīf* of the Banī Numair?

If he had completed five or six *qaṣīda*'s, he would have been a *fahl*. Then he added: The two tribes least productive of poetry seem to have been Kalb and Šaibān. 40

Abū Du'aib of Hudail?

Fahl.

Sā'ida ibn Ju'ayya⁴)?

1) The same in Agh. VII, 172, 8.

2) The governor of Baṣra.

3) The text is defective here.

4) This well known poet does not receive mention in Agh., if the Index is to be trusted.

If he had composed five *qaṣīda*'s like the one which we have, he would have been a *fahl*.

And al-Muhalhil?

No *fahl*; but if he had produced other poems like that one
5 of his:

O night of ours in Dū Jušam, turn to daylight!

he would have been the foremost *fahl* of them all. Besides, the most of his poetry is merely attributed to him.

Abū Du'ād?

10 Excellent¹). (He did not say that he was a *fahl*.)

Ar-Rā'ī?

Not a *fahl*.

Ibn Muqbil?

Not a *fahl*.

15 Said Abū Ḥātim: I also asked al-Aṣma'ī which of the two was the greater poet, ar-Rā'ī or Ibn Muqbil. He replied: How near to each other they stand! But, I objected, this answer does not satisfy us. Thereupon he said: The verse of ar-Rā'ī was more like that of the old and primitive poets.

20 Ibn Aḥmar (fol. 4) al-Bāhili?

Not a *fahl*; yet, though inferior to those, he stands at the head of his own division. And (he said) in my opinion (أرى أن) Mālik ibn Ḥarīm al-Hamdānī is of the *fuḥūl*. If Ta'laba ibn Šu'air al-Māzinī had written five poems like his *qaṣīda*, he would have
25 been a *fahl*.

How about Ka'b ibn Ju'ail?

I think that he (أظن) is of the *fuḥūl*, but do not feel certain of it.

What do you say of Jarīr and al-Farazdaq and al-Aḥṭal?

30 These, if they belonged to the Jāhiliya, would have a distinguished place (in this ranking); but since they belong to Islām, I will say nothing about them.

Said Abū Ḥātim: I had often heard him prefer Jarīr to al-Farazdaq; so I said to him, on the day when 'Iṣām ibn al-Faiḍ
35 came to see him: I wish to ask you about something, and if 'Iṣām had already heard it from you, I would not ask. I have heard you prefer Jarīr to al-Farazdaq, more than once; what will you say now about the two, and about al-Aḥṭal? So he reflected for a moment, and then recited a verse from his (al-Aḥṭal's) *qaṣīda*:

40 Verily I have made the night's journey of no weakling,

On a she-camel emaciated of cheek and thin of flank.

Then he recited about ten verses more, and said: If any one tells you that any man on earth ever produced the equal of this, either

1) صالح.

Fahl.

Al-Hārit ibn Hilliza?

Fahl.

'Amr ibn Kulṭūm?

No *fahl*.

5

Al-Musayyab ibn 'Alas?

Fahl.

How about 'Adī ibn Zaid; is he a *fahl*?

Neither stallion nor mare!

(Said Abū Ḥātim: The only reason why I asked him was 10 because I had heard Ibn Munadir¹) say that no poet should be ranked higher than 'Adī.)

What of Ḥassān ibn Tābit?

He is a *fahl*.

Qais ibn al-Ḥaṭīm?

15

Fahl.

The two named al-Muraqqiṣ?

Each of the two is a *fahl*.

And Ibn Qamī'a?

Fahl. (This was Qamī'a [ibn Darīḥ]²) ibn Sa'd ibn Mālik, 20 and his *kunya* was Abū Yazīd³).

And Abū Zubaid?⁴

He is no *fahl*.

Aš-Šammāḥ?

Fahl. (And al-Aṣma'ī added: I have talked with a man who 25 had seen the grave of aš-Šammāḥ in Armenia.)

How about Muzarrid, his brother?

He was not inferior to aš-Šammāḥ, yet he injured his poetry by introducing too much satire.

Now al-Aṣma'ī had told me, before this, that the men of Kūfa 30 were wont to place al-A'šā in the very first rank of poets⁵); also, Ḥalaf⁶) was accustomed to say that no poet should be ranked above him. (Said Abū Ḥātim: This was because he composed poetry in every sort of meter, and used every variety of rhyme.)

I proceeded: What of 'Urwa ibn al-Ward?

35

He answered: He was a noble poet (شاعر كريم), but not a *fahl*.

How about al-Ḥuwaidira?

1) Muḥammad ibn Munādir (often Manādir), Agh. XVII, 9 ff.

2) Agh. XVI, 163.

3) There was another Ibn Qamī'a of some note, namely he who killed Mus'ab ibn 'Umair at the battle of Uhud, mistaking him for the Prophet Muḥammad (Hiš. 566 f., Agh. XIV, 19). This Qamī'a was of the Lait tribe.

4) Ḥarmala ibn al-Mundir aṭ-Tā'ī.

5) Apparently, the original context of this passage is to be found above, where al-A'šā was mentioned; see also the note on the text.

6) Cf. Agh. VIII, 78, where al-A'šā is under discussion, and Ḥalaf al-Aḥmar refuses to decide the question of superiority.

They are compelled to have the sharp bit of the bridle put on,
As if it were put on the trunk of a palm that is stripped of
its bark¹).

(You say: I constrained him (*rāwadtuhū*) to this or that, meaning
5 the same as *ḥāwaltuhū*, and one may say also *aradtuhu*. Here he
is describing the neck of the animal)

. . . . and he [*i. e.* an-Nābigha *al-Ja'dī*] was most excellent in
describing horses²). Thereupon he recited:

10 Holding firm the sutures of the skull, or he had been ready to
neigh³).

He also excelled in that *qaṣīda* of his in which he says:

Those 'generous gifts' of yours — not even two cups of milk,
Which were made gray with water, and at last turned to urine.

15 (Said Abū Ḥātim) I asked: What was his intent in that, for this
line enters into the verse of another poet⁴). He replied: When
Sawwār [ibn Aufā] ibn al-Ḥayā' al-Quṣairī said: 'We have among
us him who searched for his foot⁵), him who made Ḥājib his
captive, and those who gave the milk to drink', an-Nābigha there-
20 upon composed the verse: (fol. 3)

Those generous gifts of yours — not even two cups of milk.

Said al-Aṣma'ī: If this *qaṣīda* had only been written by the
older Nābigha, it would have reached the utmost bound of merit.

I then asked him: How about al-A'šā, the A'šā of the Banī
25 Qais ibn Ta'laba?

He replied: He is not a *fahl*⁶).

And 'Alqama ibn 'Abada?

1) See Krenkow, loc. cit., p. 870.

2) On the lacuna here, see the note on the text.

3) It is perhaps useless to attempt to translate without knowing the con-
text. On the شؤن of a horse, see Ibn Sīda's *Kitāb al-Muḥaṣṣaṣ* VI, 138,
and on أراد لبيزقرا see Jamhara, 147, lines 4f.

4) See Brockelmann, loc. cit., p. 118, where the story of the verse is
told, and the original author is said to have been Abū 'ṣ-Salt ibn ar-Rabī'a
at-Taqaṣī.

5) Namely Ḥubāš ibn Qais, whose foot was cut off in the battle of the
Yarmūk, while he was showing great valor; see especially Belāḍurī 137, where
the verse is given:

ومنا ابن عتابٍ وناشدُ رجله
ومنا الذي أتى إلى الحى حاجبنا

The Ḥājib who was made captive was Ḥājib ibn Zurāra. The story of his
capture by Mālik ibn Salama (known as Dū 'r-Ruqaiba) is told in Agh. X, 42f.

6) This judgment is all the more remarkable in view of the high esteem
in which Abū 'Amr held al-A'šā (Agh. VIII, 78, etc.). On the probable dis-
arrangement of the Ms. at this point, see below.

the comparison of the two¹⁾ He answered: No; Abū 'Amr²⁾, when some one asked him, in my hearing: Was an-Nābigha, or Zuhair, the greater poet? replied: Zuhair was not worthy to be an-Nābigha's hireling. He (al-Aṣma'ī) added: Aus ibn Ḥajar was a greater poet than Zuhair, but an-Nābigha took away from him 5 some of his glory³⁾. Aus composed this:

With an army for which you see the field too strait,
in a poem of his; but an-Nābigha followed it with some lines of
his own, bringing its conceit, and something besides, into a single
half-verse: (fol. 2) 10

An army, for which the field becomes too strait,
Leaving the ridges behind as though they were plains⁴⁾.

Abū Ḥātim also reported from al-Aṣma'ī the following: A ṣaiḥ of the people of Najd said that Ṭufail al-Ghanawī used to be called *Muḥabbir*⁵⁾, in the pre-Islamic time, because of the beauty 15 of his verse. And in my own opinion, said al-Aṣma'ī, in some of his poetry he surpassed Imrulqais; al-Aṣma'ī says it. Then he added: And yet Ṭufail borrowed something from Imrulqais; moreover, it is said that much of the poetry of Imrulqais belonged to certain beggars⁶⁾ who attached themselves to him; also, 'Amr ibn 20 Qamī'a went in his company to the Byzantine court⁷⁾. Mu'āwiya ibn Abū Sufyān used to say: Summon for me Ṭufail, for his verse is more like that of the ancient poets than is the verse of Zuhair, and he is a *fahl*⁸⁾. Al-Aṣma'ī proceeded: It is a wonder that an-Nābigha never gave any description of a mare except in the 25 one verse:

With her nostrils yellow from [the blossoms of] the *jarjār* plant.
Indeed, an-Nābigha, Aus, and Zuhair were not wont to give fine
descriptions of horses; but Ṭufail, on the contrary, reached the
almost bound of excellence in this characterization, and he was a 30
fahl. Then he recited these lines of his:

-
- 1) See note on the text. 2) Abū 'Amr ibn al-'Alā', † 154/770.
3) Cf. Brockelmann, *Nöldeke-Festschrift*, p. 117 above.
4) That is, they were trampled flat by the multitude of the army.
5) Literally, one who adorns. See especially Goldziher's discussion of the uses of this term, *Abhandlungen*, I, 129—131.
6) "Poor devils", *صعاليك*.
7) Agh. XVI, 163, 166 above, and elsewhere.
8) The question of al-Aṣma'ī's dating of the poet Ṭufail (see Krenkow, JRAS. 1907, pp. 815, 820) is here settled. The *original* meaning of the statements quoted by Krenkow from Agh. XIV, 88 must have been simply this, that Ṭufail was older than Nābigha *Ja'dī* (with whom he is all the time being compared), and the foremost (*أقدم*) of the poets of Qais 'Ailān.

Translation.

Al-Aṣma'ī's Ranking of the Earliest Arabian Poets.

Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Duraid al-Azdī reports the following from Abu Ḥātim Sahl ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Utmān 5 as-Sijzī. Said Abū Ḥātim: On more than one occasion I heard al-Aṣma'ī 'Abd al-Malik ibn Quraib pronounce an-Nābigha of Dubyān superior to the other pre-Mohammedan poets. I myself also asked him — and it was the last question that I put to him, only a short time before his death — Who stands first among the *Fuḥūl*? 10 He replied: an-Nābigha of Dubyān; but added: In my opinion, no one ever equalled the verses of Imrulqais:

Their good fortune guarded them through their kinsfolk —
It is on the less fortunate that vengeance falls!

Said Abū Ḥātim: When he saw that I was writing down what 15 he said, he reflected for a moment and then proceeded: No, the first of them all in excellence is Imrulqais; his were the highest honor and the precedence, and they all drew upon his poetry and followed his canons; I could almost say that he gave an-Nābigha of Dubyān his place among the *fuḥūl*. Then I asked (said Abū 20 Ḥātim): What is the meaning of the term *fahl*?¹⁾ He replied: It means that one has a marked superiority over his fellows, like the superiority of a thoroughbred stallion over the mere colts; and he added: it is the same thing which is meant by the verse of Jarīr:

25 The young offspring of the milch-camel, when he is fastened
with the yoke-rope,
Can not withstand the fierce attack of the seasoned and mighty
ones²⁾.

Said Abū Ḥātim: Some one asked him, Who of all men is 30 the greatest poet? He answered, an-Nābigha. The other continued: Do you give no one the precedence over him? He replied: No, nor were the men of learning in poetry whom I have known accustomed to prefer any one to him. But, I said, there has been some difference of opinion in regard to Zuhair ibn Abū Sulmā and

1) Of course such a slightly different use of the term as that illustrated by the title *'Alqama al-Fahl* (on its origin, see Agh. XXI, 173) would suggest itself; cf. also the definition given in the *Lisān*: فُحُولُ الشُّعْرَاءِ هُمُ الَّذِينَ

غَلَبُوا بِالْهَجَاءِ مَنْ هَاجَاهُمْ مِثْلَ جَرِيرٍ وَالْفَرَزْدَقِ وَاشْبَاعِيْمَا وَكَذَلِكَ
كُلٌّ مِنْ عَارِضٍ شَاعِرًا فَغَلَبَ عَلَيْهِ.

2) More exactly: the *ibn labūn* is a camel entering upon his third year; the *bāzil* (plur. *buzul*) is eight or nine years old.

in a passage which is not found in our text of the work, though it might perhaps have stood there originally. I print in square brackets the portion which is wanting in the Landberg manuscript.

أخبرني محمد بن الحسن بن دريد قال حدثنا أبو حاتم قال سألت
5 الأصمعي عن اعشى همدان فقال هو من الفحول وهو اسلامي كثير
الشعر [ثم قال لي العجب من ابن دأب حين يزعم ان اعشى
همدان قال

مَنْ دَعَا لِي غَزِيلِي أَرْبَحَ اللَّهُ تِجَارَتَهُ

ثم قال سبحان الله أمثل هذا يجوز على الاعشى أن يجزمه اسم
10 الله عز وجل ويرفع تجارته وهو نصب ثم قال لي خلف الأحمر
والله لقد طمع ابن دأب في الخلافة حين ظن أن هذا يقبل منه
وإن له من الخلد مثل أن يجوز مثل هذا ثم قال ومع ذلك أيضا
أر. قوله * من دعا لي غزيلي * لا يجوز إنما هو * من دعا لغزيلي *
Ibn Duraid reports from Abū Ḥatim: I

asked al-Aṣma'ī about A'šā Hamdān, and he said: He is one of 15
the *fuḥūl*, though of Islām, and the author of much poetry. [He
(al-Aṣma'ī) continued: One can only wonder at Ibn Da'b when he
asserts that A'šā Hamdān was the author of this:

*Man da'ā li ghuzayyili Arbaḥ allāh tijāratuh*¹⁾.

God forbid that this sort of thing should be allowed to pass 20
as perpetrated by al-A'šā, that he should pronounce the word
"Allāh" with the *sukūn*, and put "*tijāratuh*" in the nominative
when it should be in the accusative! Thereupon Ḥalaf al-Aḥmar
said to me: Verily, Ibn Da'b must have been aspiring to the caliphate
when he imagined that this would be accepted from him, and that 25
his place was so high that such an assertion as this could pass.
Then he added: Moreover, even the first half-verse, *man da'ā li
ghuzayyili*, is not permissible; it can only be, *man da'ā li ghuzayyili*,
as one says, *man da'ā liba'irīn dāllīn*"²⁾].

In the text which follows, the Landberg manuscript is faith- 30
fully reproduced, except in a very few cases where good reason
for deviation is given in the notes at the foot of the page. The
vowel-pointing is usually that of the ms. itself.

1) That is: Whoever calls for me my little gazelle, may God make his trading profitable.

2) That is: Who summons (its owner) to a straying beast (which has been found); cf. *Lisān* XVIII, 285 (above), etc.

other words. His use of the designation *fursān* is another illustration of the fact that he is not concerned with the terminology of a thoroughgoing literary classification. He speaks of these Arab "knights" of old as we might speak of the knights of the middle 5 ages, or of the Troubadours. They were invested with an atmosphere of nobility and chivalry, and men like al-Aṣmaʿī spoke of them with an enthusiasm which was only in part based on approval of their poetical achievements. "Those who made predatory excursions *on foot*" form another class, a less distinguished group than 10 that of the "knights", numbering such men as Taʿabbāḡa Ṣarran and aš-Šanfarā; and here again the classification was not primarily concerned with rank in the art of poetry. Thus it appears, for instance, that the two classes, *fahl* and *fursan*, are not mutually exclusive. Duraid ibn aṣ-Ṣimma is mentioned as belonging to both 15 groups (درید بن الصمّة من فحول الفرساء), and the same possibility is implied in other passages.

It is obvious, from all this, that al-Aṣmaʿī's *fuḥūlat aš-Šuʿarā* could not serve as the basis for subsequent systematic essays on the rank of the poets. It was both too indefinite and too arbitrary, 20 besides seeming to put too many poets in the very foremost rank. It was not of any great use to later writers to have this unwieldy classification into *fahl* and no-*fahl*, where the opinions even of those best qualified to judge differed so widely, and the line between the two classes was often impossible to draw (as al-Aṣmaʿī himself 25 confesses over and over again). If there was to be any ranking at all, it must be something better than this. Of course every scholar who undertook a thoroughgoing criticism of the Arab poets would be influenced by these judgments, and would usually either quote or adopt them to some extent; in a few cases, however, the 30 estimate was too obviously one-sided to find general approval, as when the two lesser Aʿšās, of Hamdān and Bāhila, are classed among the *fuḥūl*, while the great Aʿšā is left out. The importance of the compilation is simply that of the "table talk" of a noted scholar, on a subject in which he was rightly regarded as a high 35 authority. We may all be grateful to Abū Ḥātim for having followed his master about with a note-book.

The text of the work, as we possess it in our unique manuscript, is in fairly good condition, though there are a few doubtful passages and one or two disturbing lacunae. So far as it is possible to 40 judge from internal evidence, the gaps are not extensive. It may be, moreover, that what we have is a somewhat abridged form of the original compilation; though this can only be called a possibility, not really made probable by the evidence. In one passage preserved in the *Aghānī* (V, 158), Ibn Duraid cites from Abū 45 Ḥātim, from al-Aṣmaʿī, an opinion which is given in the same words in our *كتاب فحول الشعراء*, and then continues the citation

the beginning of these "memoirs" is characteristic and significant. Abū Ḥātim had asked his master to name the foremost *fahl* of all the poets, and the answer had been, Nābigha Dūbyānī. "But", says Abū Ḥātim, "when he saw that I was writing down what he said, he reflected for a moment, and then proceeded: No, the first 5 of them all is Imrulqais", etc. In one place, in speaking of the "knights" of the pre-Muhammadan time, he says that Ḥufāf, 'Antara, and az-Zibriqān were the best poets of the *fursān*; but on another occasion he names Duraid and Ḥufāf as the best of the group. There are several other patent examples of inconsistency. An 10 anecdote which he tells here of the poet Kutayyir really serves to illustrate the off-hand manner in which many of his own judgments were expressed. Some one asked of Kutayyir who was the greatest poet, and received the answer, al-Ḥuṭai'a. The questioner then waited for some time, until he thought it likely that the poet 15 would have forgotten the incident, and then asked the same question again; this time receiving the answer, Imrulqais. For a considerable part of the material here collected by Abū Ḥātim, we cannot be confident that it gives us what al-Aṣma'ī himself would have written down as his final estimate, in a serious attempt to rank the Arab 20 poets. We can hardly doubt, on the other hand, that al-Aṣma'ī has been faithfully reported by his pupil; the question of the substantial genuineness of the compilation can hardly arise.

The scientific value of the treatise, as a specimen of literary criticism, is small. It is quite plain that the great philologist had 25 not made any careful study of the criteria according to which poets were to be excluded from, or admitted to, his *fahl* class. It is also evident that he had no system of successive classes, in which he ranked those whom he would not reckon among the *fuhūl*. In speaking of the poets who fell below the highest rank, 30 he used a variety of complimentary terms, more or less at haphazard, and without showing any purpose of making even a rough classification. Goldziher, in his above-mentioned essay, seems to me to go too far when he says (Abhandl. I, 137): "Al-Aṣma'ī hat sogar einen neuen Terminus festgestellt, durch welchen er, gleichsam in 35 schonender Weise, hochberühmte Dichter der Gāhiliyya aus der Ordnung der eigentlichen Klassiker entfernt, ohne damit ihren Werth völlig herabzusetzen. Er nennt diese Dichter zweiter Ordnung:

karīm, edel". Goldziher then cites the cases of Ḥātim (انما يُعَدُّ) 40 *karīm* (شاعر كريم وليس بفاحل) and 'Urwa (بكرم ولم يقل انه فحل) this, I think, reads into al-Aṣma'ī's words more than he intended. He had no thought of a definite *second class*, in which the term *karam* was used in the same way as *fuhūla*. It was only by accident that he employed the adjective *karīm* in these cases; on another day, speaking of the same poets, he might have chosen 45

at some length the work before us, the *حَوْلَةُ الشعراء* of al-Aṣma'ī, which he had been able to use while the manuscript was still in Landberg's possession. To his treatment of the subject the reader is accordingly referred. Again, Brockelmann in the *Nöldeke-Festschrift*, I, 109—125, has published a conspectus of one of the earliest and most important of the *fuḥūla* books, the *طبقات الشعراء* of Muḥammad ibn Sallām al-Jumaḥī († 231), making use of all the surviving fragments of the work which he was able to collect. This is a systematic treatise on the ranking of the poets, and therefore altogether different from the compilation of Abū Ḥātim, as will presently appear. Finally, we are promised a complete edition of this treatise of al-Jumaḥī in the near future, by Professor Hell of Munich, who has found a manuscript containing it in the Khedivial Library in Cairo; see his announcement in the ZDMG. 64, p. 659, note.

As has just been said, the remarks on the poets made by al-Aṣma'ī, and collected by Abū Ḥātim as-Sijistānī under the title *Kitāb Fuḥūlat aš-Šu'arā'*, do not constitute anything like a systematic compilation. What we have is simply a catena of scattered sayings, of very uneven value, made on many different occasions, and thrown together without any plan of arrangement. Some of the judgments were given in answer to questions, while others (and these constitute the great majority) were the merest *obiter dicta*. The opinion expressed is in many cases confined to this one point, the fitness of the poet to bear the title *fahl*, that is, "thoroughbred male" (especially male camel), or "stallion", a truly Arabian way of picturing the embodiment of pure native blood, masculine force, and high spirit. The holder of this rank must have been, first of all, a poet of very noteworthy achievements; thus it is said of al-Ḥuwaidira, for example: "If he had produced five *qaṣīdas* like the one which we have, he would have been a *fahl*". But he must also have been a true representative of the genuine Arab stock at its best, embodying the qualities which were most characteristic of the free and vigorous life of the native clans, battling for their precarious existence on the edge of the desert. As Goldziher remarks (loc. cit., p. 135): "Nicht die dichterische Kraft allein macht den Menschen dieser Benennung würdig; diese bezieht sich vielmehr auch auf die Eigenschaften des ritterlichen Charakters". It is easy to see why the poets of the Islamic time were not easily given the title which seemed to belong by especial right to the primitive period and the Bedawī nobility. Al-Aṣma'ī's estimates, both as to the *fuḥūla* quality and also on other matters concerning the old poets, while always interesting are frequently not convincing. Some are evidently the fruit of long deliberation; others are so carelessly made that we may suspect that al-Aṣma'ī himself would have modified or even retracted them a few days later, when he was in another mood. One of the incidents narrated near

Al-Aṣma'ī's Fuḥūlat aš-Šu'arā'.

By

Charles C. Torrey.

A brief treatise, purporting to contain al-Aṣma'ī's detailed estimate of the pre-Mohammedan poets, has been known to exist in a single manuscript in Damascus. The attention of occidental scholars was first called to it by H. Lammens, in the *Journal Asiatique*, 1894, II, p. 155. The manuscript was copied for Count 5 Landberg while he was in the East, and the copy, which is now in the library of Yale University, as number 49 of the Landberg Collection purchased in 1900, furnishes the text which is here published. The original manuscript, which is said to be about two hundred years old, contains also the *Diwān* of Muḥammad ibn 10 az-Zayyāt († 233), Ta'lab's *Qawā'id aš-Ši'r*, and the *Šajarat ad-Durr* of 'Abd al-Wāḥid ibn 'Alī († 351).

The form of the *كتاب فحولة الشعراء* is that of a loose series of personal reminiscences. The narrator is al-Aṣma'ī's favorite pupil Abū Ḥātim Sahl ibn Muḥammad as-Sijistānī, who reports 15 from his master, verbatim, whatever he had heard him say — either of his own accord or in answer to questions, at various times — as to the relative merits of the ancient poets. Abū Ḥātim's narrative is transmitted, finally, through his own pupil, the renowned scholar Ibn Duraid, who is thus responsible for the redaction which 20 lies before us.

The whole subject of *fuhūla* literature has been treated so often that there is no need of general discussion here. Nöldeke, in his *Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Poesie der alten Araber*, 1 ff., translated and commented upon the Introduction to a work of this 25 nature by Ibn Qutaiba; and much that is contained in that treatise may profitably be compared with this older compilation, unlike as the two are. Goldziher, in his *Abhandlungen zur arabischen Philologie* I, 122—174, has given us a characteristically thorough essay on "Alte und neue Poesie im Urteile der arabischen Kritiker", 30 in which he discusses the principal criteria on which the earliest Muhammadan critics based their estimates. Moreover, in pp. 134—143 he deals with the term *fahl* in particular, and characterizes